MURRELL, J. N. (1969). Chem. Brit. 5, 107.

- O'CONNOR, B. H. & DALE, D. H. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 705.
- PADMANABHAN, V. M. (1972). Private communication.
- PADMANABHAN, V. M., BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, A26.
- PADMANABHAN, V. M., SRIKANTA, S. & MEDHI ALI, S. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 567.
- PADMANABHAN, V. M., YADAVA, V. S., NAVARRO, Q. O., GARCIA, A., KARSONO, L., IL-HWAN SUH & LIN SHI CHIEN (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 253.
- PETERSON, S. W. & LEVY, H. A. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 26, 220.
- SABINE, T. M., COX, G. W. & CRAVEN, B. M. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 2437.
- SANDORFY, C. (1970). Colloques Internationaux du CNRS. Aspects de la Chimie Quantique Contemporaine, p. 237. Menton, 1970.
- SCHLEMPER, E. O., HAMILTON, W. C. & LA PLACA, S. J. (1971). J. Chem. Phys. 54, 3990.

- SEQUEIRA, A., SRIKANTA, S. & CHIDAMBARAM, R. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 77.
- SIKKA, S. K. & CHIDAMBARAM, R. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 310.
- SIKKA, S. K., MOMIN, S. N., RAJAGOPAL, H. & CHIDAM-BARAM, R. (1968). J. Chem. Phys. 48, 1883.
- SIMONSEN, S. H. & MUELLER, M. H. (1965). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 27, 309.
- SMITH, H. G., PETERSON, S. W. & LEVY, H. A. (1968). J. Chem. Phys. 48, 5561.
- SOKOLOV, N. D. (1965). Ann. Chim. 10, 497.
- TAYLOR, J. C. & MUELLER, M. H. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 536.
- TAYLOR, J. C., MUELLER, M. H. & HITTERMAN, R. L. (1966). Acta Cryst. 20, 842.
- TORRIE, B. H., BROWN, I. D. & PETCH, H. E. (1964). Canad. J. Phys. 42, 229.
- WILLIAMS, P. P. & DENT GLASSER, L. S. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 2269.

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 3583

The Crystal Structure of Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅

BY M.E. CRADWICK AND H.F.W. TAYLOR

Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Old Aberdeen, Scotland

(Received 27 June 1972)

The compound Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅ is essentially isostructural with tuhualite, $[(Na, K)_2Fe_2^{2+}Fe_3^{3+}Si_{12}O_{30}, H_2O]$. The silicate anions are corrugated ribbons, two tetrahedra wide, and are made up from rings of four tetrahedra fused together. Some of the Mg²⁺ ions are tetrahedrally coordinated, and the structure could alternatively be described as being based on a framework with some of the tetrahedral sites occupied by Mg²⁺ and a 7:15 ratio of tetrahedral cations to oxygen. The remaining Mg²⁺ ions are octahedrally coordinated, and of the Na⁺ ions, some have 9 and others 10 oxygen neighbours within 3·1 Å.

Introduction

The compound Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅ was first described by Botvinkin, Popova & Manuilova (1937), and crystal data for it were reported by Shahid & Glasser (1972). Cradwick, Shahid & Taylor (1972) published a note on the crystal structure, describing the silicate anion, which they found to be a corrugated ribbon, two tetrahedra wide. This was wrongly described as a new type of silicate anion; Dr S. Merlino has since drawn our attention to the fact that the same anion occurs in the mineral tuhualite, $[(Na, K)_2Fe_2^2 + Fe_2^3 + Si_{12}O_{30}. H_2O]$, the structure of which he had determined carlier (Merlino, 1969), and comparison of the two structures shows them to be essentially isostructural. In this paper we report the structure of Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅, of which only some features were described in the previous note.

Crystal data

The crystal data, found by Shahid & Glasser (1972) and confirmed by us by powder diffractometry (λ for Cu K α =1.5418 Å), were as follows: orthorhombic, Cmca or C2cb, a=14.165, b=17.59, c=10.205 Å, V=2542.7 Å³, Z=8, $D_x=2.629$ g.cm⁻³. Our own results show the space group to be *Cmca*. The *a* and *c* axes are here interchanged relative to those used in the earlier reports, to make them the same as those used by Merlino (1969).

Experimental methods, structure determination and refinement

Preparation of single-crystal material is difficult as the compound, which melts incongruently, has so far been obtained only by cooling melts that are both highly viscous and of different composition from itself; Dr F. P. Glasser and Dr K. A. Shahid kindly provided a specimen. It was an irregular fragment, a few tenths of a millimetre in its longest dimension, and consisted of the crystal embedded in a larger amount of glass, from which it could not be separated. Intensity data were collected using an automatic linear diffractometer with Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, except for a few reflexions for which this gave uncertain results, and for which intensities were estimated visually from Weissenberg photographs. In general, the intensities of four equivalent reflexions were averaged to give that of each

independent reflexion. Data were recorded for 630 independent reflexions, of which 390 were observed. No absorption corrections were applied.

Computing was largely done using programs kindly supplied by Dr F. R. Ahmed and collaborators, of the National Research Council of Canada, and adapted by Mr J. S. Knowles of the Department of Computing, University of Aberdeen. Form factors (for Na⁺, Mg^{2+} , Si and O⁻) were taken from *International Tables* for X-ray Crystallography (1962).

E values were calculated for the reflexions, and their distribution showed that a centre of symmetry was present, indicating the space group Cmca. The structure was solved by symbolic addition, which yielded four possible solutions, for each of which an E map was calculated. The most promising of these gave chemically reasonable coordinates for all the atoms except one sodium. A structure-factor calculation gave an initial R value of 0.43 on the 630 independent reflexions. One cycle of Fourier refinement gave the position of the remaining sodium atom and slightly altered coordinates for the other atoms; the parameters were then refined by block-diagonal least squares, first the coordinates only, then also the scale factor and individual isotropic temperature factors. Only the observed reflexions were used in the refinement; in the course of the latter some wrong intensities were corrected, and it was decided to restrict the reflexions used to those contained in a cylinder of reciprocal space, with its axis parallel to \mathbf{a}^* , of radius 0.86 Å⁻¹ and extending to 0.76 Å^{-1} along \mathbf{a}^* . This cylinder contained 468 independent reflexions, of which 358 were observed, and

was chosen to reduce the large number of unobserved reflexions while losing the minimum number of observed ones. The refinement converged at R =0.082 on the observed reflexions, or R = 0.121 if the unobserved oncs were also included. The weighting scheme used in the later stages of refinement was $w = 1/\{1 + [(F_{obs}| - 105 \cdot 0)/25 \cdot 0]^2\}$ and analyses against $|F_{obs}|$, $\sin^2 \theta$, and h index showed it to be reasonably satisfactory. A final, difference electron-density map was calculated; the extreme values on it were +0.7and -0.6 e.Å⁻³. Tables 1 to 3 give respectively the final parameters, the observed and calculated structure factors, and the more important interatomic distances and angles.

Table 1. Atomic parameters

Fractional coordinates are multiplied by 10⁴.

	Equi-				Biso
	point	x/a	y/b	z/c	$(Å^2)$
Si(1)	16(g)	3889 (4)	1326 (3)	151 (5)	1.0 (1)
Si(2)	16(g)	3877 (4)	751 (3)	3098 (5)	-1·1 (1)
Si(3)	16(g)	3862 (4)	1924 (3)	5389 (5)	-1·1 (1)
Mg(1)	8(<i>d</i>)	2490 (8)	0	0	0.8 (2)
Mg(2)	8(<i>e</i>)	2500	- 899 (5)	2500	1.2 (2)
Na(1)	8(f)	0	4099 (7)	2568 (14)	3.4 (3)
Na(2)	8(<i>e</i>)	2500	2313 (6)	2500	1.8 (2)
O(1)	16(g)	3611 (9)	2227 (6)	- 18 (11)	0.9 (2)
O(2)	16(g)	3268 (8)	783 (7)	- 790 (11)	0.8(3)
O(3)	16(g)	3681 (10)	1105 (7)	1684 (13)	1.8 (3)
O(4)	16(g)	3327 (9)	13 (7)	3414 (12)	1.2 (3)
O(5)	16(g)	3577 (10)	1424 (6)	4125 (11)	1.3 (3)
O(6)	16(g)	3393 (10)	1671 (8)	6702 (11)	1.7 (3)
O(7)	8(f)	5000	1223 (11)	-164(18)	2·2 (4)
O(8)	$\delta(f)$	5000	546 (12)	3215 (19)	2.8 (5)
O(9)	8(f)	5000	1830 (12)	5575 (21)	2.7 (4)

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors ($\times 10$)

Unobserved reflexions marked *.

L FO FC	L FO FC	L FO FC	1 60 67								
						•	• • • •		1 10 10	L 40 FC	L FO FC
H- V, L- V	A 1527 1455	1 2044 2028				5 285+ -221	5 492 541	4 454 -457	7 854 -869	5 271+ -86	8 897 -947
2 1892 2069	1 1767 1674	2 1313 1235	- 2, 14 10	AR 3, KR 4		6 1115 1115	6 762 856	5 837 897		6 772 911	• • • •
4 3468 3901	2 2607 -2577	3 1239 1238	0 763 -703	1 583 542	0 663 636	/ 50/ -501		6 567 -295	x= 8, x= 4	7 1467 -1511	H= 10, K= 2
6 2596 2486	3 330+ 200	4 509 -149	1 1228 1104	2 463 -365	1 1562 -1544	0 /34 /10	H. O, L. O	7 825 811		8 871 769	
8 585 162	4 734 -609	5 1870 1803	2 292+ -231	3 289• 30	2 263+ 18	H= 5, K= 7	0 810 702	NR 7. FR 5	1 473 -403		0 128+ 43
			3 531 -439	4 573 499	3 1795 1775		1 509 485		2 421 470		1 307 -338
	N# 0, 0# 14	No 1, Ko 13	4 316+ -288	5 931 924	4 785 836	1 2015 2092	2 2371 -2575	1 797 757	3 1310 -1416	1 168+ 155	3 715 800
0 186 338	0 2371 2340	2 619 570	NO 2. ES 12	No. 3, FR. 11	5 830 #42	2 287 108	3 287 - 352	2 460 -447	4 257+ 135	2 458 -442	4 247+ -180
2 2720 -3080		3 1797 -1710			H# 4, K# 10	4 283+ -130	5 2054 -112	3 2410 -427	5 282 • 29	3 222+ -65	5 276+ 483
3 206+ 158	K# 1, X# 1		0 1692 -1656	1 968 -954		5 1476 1486	6 318+ +44	5 2884 34	2 1081 -1884	4 1036 1099	6 304+ -133
4 1403 -1553		H= 2, K= 0	1 316+ -56	2 935 -903	0 450 346	6 324+ -455	7 906 -897	6 508 -417	1 1401 41000	6 671 -810	/ 3314 -502
6 763 752	2 597 477	2 803 842	2 0/8 -302	3 31777	1 957 -979	-			N= 8, K= 6	7 653 546	H= 10. K= A
7 1184 -1103	3 2731 -2730	4 231+ -52	4 524 -608	5 1769 -1743	3 2111 2078	H# 5, K# 9	H. 6. K. 8	HB 7, KB 7			
8 343+ -457	4 752 792	6 288+ 460			4 915 904	1 783 770	0 25/0 -24/		0 827 -885	на 9, ка 5	0 1844 -1601
	5 453 359	8 818 -933	H# 2, K# 14	Hm 3, EM 13	6 634 -439	2 668 -166	1 449 -427	2 252- 107	1 226+ +172		1 1094 -1094
k= 0, K= 4	6 1097 1043					3 292+ -171	2 771 -728	3 267+ 32	1 1528 1/09	1 806 1022	2 1107 -1153
0 3041 -7354	9 1101 -983	H= 2, X= 2	0 940 -823	3 1888 1922	H= 4, K= 12	4 1565 1520	3 279+ -139	4 783 661	4 276+ -195	3 2604 2629	3 2034 -118
1 1587 -1758	0 1441 1440	4 190 -578	2 84/ -/45			5 710 679	4 808 -830	5 701 -570	5 616 549	4 268+ 202	5 910 -846
2 389 -167	H= 1, K= 3	2 2140 -2120	NO 3. CO 1	HE 4, 24 U	0 1232 1210		5 315+ -331	6 587 317	6 322+ -113	5 292+ 230	6 1234 -1307
3 647 -591		3 437 293		2 3144 -2989	2 1616 1656	N= 3, KE 11			7 923 1027	6 1017 -1023	7 551 -490
4 249+ -12	1 349 -14	4 366 127	1 123+ -31	4 1162 1165	3 1415 -1309	1 1613 -1618	N= 0, x= 10	44 /, 14 V			
5 1302 1300	2 1566 -1697	5 632 577	2 330 -228	8 1930 1909	4 2016 2024	2 1031 -996	0 541 -419	1 1181 -1261		NB V, CB /	10. 20 6
7 3483 -3615	4 2424 -17	7 3170 -87	3 1278 1281			3 1128 -1197	1 598 -014	2 476 -451	0 257+ 248	1 2563 -2795	0 225+ +491
	5 1583 1543	/ 3//* *0/	5 2624 -381	Ha 4, 24 2	He 4, Ke 16	4 553 511	2 489 -526	3 295+ -61	1 260+ 57	2 292 -42	1 229+ -123
#= 0, X= 6	6 1030 -937	H= 2. K= 4	6 576 -573	0 1566 1706	0 1245 -1280	5 1288 -1243	3 557 -573	4 695 -659	2 1159 1219	3 271+ 187	2 596 557
	7 1414 1371		7 502 -173	1 2552 2744	1 627 516		6 400 -453	5 990 -890	3 283+ 45	4 931 858	3 379 166
0 751 -590		0 2190 -2142	8 1654 -1570	2 3144 3042			3 8/3 81/	xe 7. re 11	4 722 690	5 1845 -1694	4 737 728
1 332 105	H= 1. K= 5	1 1281 -1226		3 646 -742	H# 5, K# 1	3 1281 1308	H= 6, E= 12			0 001 3/4	5 1035 1005
3 2739 2924	1 2682 2684	2 822 -/84	H# 3, K# 3	4 1763 1911				1 736 740	H= 8, E= 10	Ha 9, 1 9	6 710 4930
4 1070 -1054	2 1571 -1617	4 1640 -1727	1 256 136	A 811 887	2 100 -170	H* 6. X= 0	0 318- 288	2 621 517			M= 10, K= 8
5 1074 1078	3 1120 1086	5 983 -962	2 821 844	7 319+ 96	3 203+ 172	2 1640 -48	2 3264 2/0	5 622 562	0 942 1041	1 1030 -1025	
6 1729 1721	4 1084 1004	6 1036 -981	3 422 -142	8 1079 1044	4 1360 -1579	4 2458 -2718	3 335+ 322	5 1003 020	7 300 - 33	2 287+ +20	0 1555 -1471
/ 1428 1420	5 2824 117	7 325+ 44	4 889 779		5 434 342	6 292+ -33	4 868 -777	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3 311. 22	6 1531 -1492	2 274 300
H= 0. K= 8	7 592 544	N. 2. Y. A	5 613 4750	H. 4. K. 4	8 997 -915	8 1069 -1140		x= 7, r= 13	4 1020 1020	5 617 -674	3 247+ 50
			• ••• •••	0 2669 2617	8 1274 +1280		HP 6. EP 14		5 1205 -1133		4 304+ 13
0 524 343	X= 1, K= 7	0 561 -390	H# 3, K# 5	1 2584 2750		N= 0, N= 2	1 403 410	1 338+ -77		No 9, 20 11	5 324+ -26
1 351 226		1 219+ -47		2 705 669	H# 5, K# 3	0 125+ 457		3 941 -002	N= 0, K= 12	1 1720	
2 1295 1352	1 940 -873	2 375 69	1 1247 -1266	3 1653 -1666		1 708 898	N# 7, K# 1	5 .0105	0 322+ -322	2 1004 934	10, K# 10
4 964 865	1 464 -128	5 435 -200	6 8/9 825	4 251+ 117	1 164 - 56	2 950 -1075		H= 8, K= 0	1 1206 1196	3 1658 1551	0 295+ -149
5 2045 +2023	4 1220 1148	5 666 653	4 749 -475	3 020 00/	2 188 -47	3 403 213	1 210 277		2 1550 -1500	4 837 -776	1 917 1120
6 1382 1343	5 2181 -2055	6 1697 -1793	5 322+ 26	7 1234 1161	4 1048 -1020	4 744 -053	2 168+ 291	2 586 840	4 520 298	5 881 847	2 305+ 38
	6 880 727	7 516 -256	6 942 829		5 631 -600	6 750	\$ 267+ -111	6 1140 1253		6 795 996	3 869 -802
H= C, K= 10				He 4. X= 6	6 804 658	7 864 747	6 451 387	HP 8. KP 2	- 0, 24 16	No. 0. 10. 13	4 537 406
0 2185 2123	N- 1, 12 0	H= 2, X= 8	H# 5, K# 7		7 506 -431		7 583 -467		1 355+ +14		3 333 4688
1 1433 -1374	1 1807 -1824	0 1666 -1647	1 1676 -1505	0 869 -967		H= 6, K= 4	8 723 688	° 451 -707		3 712 -681	NO 10, EF 12
2 500 249	2 277+ -73	1 418 -382	2 523 -448	2 1103 1071				1 782 -880	н» 9.к» 1		
3 1072 -1059	3 288+ -55	2 262+ 295	3 665 629	3 1008 -978	1 203+ -278	1 341 -282	n= /. K# 3	2 1104 -1337		M= 10, F= 0	0 943 -1021
4 7147 1046	4 1459 -1330	3 589 643	4 281+ 162	4 727 -671	2 335 125	2 336 -228	1 273 -267	4 243+ -144	2 171- 180	3 89/ 000	370 223
3 1007 -1817	5 1449 -1432	4 447 -367	5 969 960	5 1581 -1611	3 1621 -1635	3 1042 991	2 636 -470	5 1127 1321	3 208+ -718	4 2434 514	2 024 -917
N# 0, E# 12	He 1. Ke 11	5 5544 118	0 507 -333	0 1586 1644	4 261+ -313	4 422 -302	3 219+ 22	6 620 611	4 2047 2125	6 728 758	6 805 -822



Fig. 1. Unit cell of Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅ projected along a.

Description of the structure

Fig. 1 shows the structure projected along a, and Fig. 2 shows selected parts of it to indicate the coordination of the Na, Mg and Si atoms. The silicate anions are corrugated ribbons running parallel to c; there are two such ribbons to the height of the cell in the a direction, which are shown in Fig. 1 as chains of open and shaded triangles respectively. Each ribbon is two tetrahedra wide in the a direction, and repeats at intervals of six such pairs of tetrahedra. The ribbon can also be described as a series of rings of four tetrahedra which are fused together and which lie in planes parallel to [100]. Each triangle in Fig. 1 represents an Si₂O₇ group with its Si-Si direction normal to the plane of the paper, the two tetrahedra in the group coinciding in projection because the oxygen atoms that link them lie on planes of symmetry perpendicular to a. The Si-O bond lengths (Table 3) are 1.55-1.64 Å; the bonds involving oxygen atoms linked to only one silicon [O(2), O(4) and O(6)] are 1.55-1.62 Å, and those involving oxygen atoms linked to two silicons are 1.59-1.64 Å.

The Mg(1) atoms are tetrahedrally coordinated and, as Merlino (1969) pointed out in relation to tuhualite, the structure can alternatively be described in accordance with Zoltai's (1960) classification as being of a framework type with a ratio of tetrahedral cations to oxygen of 7:15. The Mg(1)–O distances are 1.94 and 1.99 Å. As in tuhualite, this tetrahedron is strongly distorted, with O-Mg-O angles of 90.0-131.4°. The Mg(2) atoms are octahedrally coordinated, with Mg-O distances of 2.03-2.19 Å and relatively slight distortion. The Na(1) atoms have nine oxygen neighbours within $3 \cdot 1$ Å; six of the bonds are directed roughly to the corners of a trigonal prism with its axis parallel to a, and the three others to the centres of the prism faces. The first six neighbours are at 2.75-3.06 Å, and the latter three at 2.50-2.63 Å. The Na(2) atoms are irregularly coordinated, with ten neighbours within 3.1 Å. Two of these are much closer (2.34 Å) than the other eight (2.74–3.09 Å).

Table 4 gives the coordination of the oxygen atoms. The sums of the electrostatic valencies received by each oxygen were calculated on the classical assumption that all bonds from each cation are of equal electrostatic bond strength; all Na-O contacts shorter than 3.1 Å were treated as bonds. On these assumptions, the electrostatic valency rule is not well obeyed, O(6) in particular being underbonded and O(1) overbonded. The underbonding of O(6) is compensated by the fact that the bonds from this atom to Na(2) and Si(3) are short, and the overbonding of O(1) is compensated by the fact that its bonds to Si(1) and especially to Na(2) are long. Merlino (1969) noted a similar effect for O(6) in tuhualite. Attempts were made to treat the bonding situation in greater detail by the methods of Donnay & Allmann (1970) and Baur (1971) but they gave inconclusive results.

Table 3. Interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°)

Si(1)-O(1)*	1.64 (1)	Si(2)-O(3)*	1.60 (1)	$Si(3) - O(1)^*$	1.59 (1)	Angles at Mg	(1)
Si(1) - O(2)	1.62 (1)	Si(2) - O(4)	1.55 (1)	$SI(3) = O(3)^*$	1.01 (1)		
$Si(1) - O(3)^*$	1.64 (1)	Si(2)–O(5)*	1.64 (1)	Si(3)–O(6)	1.56 (1)	O(2)-O(2')	110.8 (6)
Si(1) - O(7)*	1.62(1)	Si(2)-O(8)*	1.64(1)	Si(3)–O(9)*	1.63 (1)	O(2)–O(4)	131.4 (6)
$M_{g}(1) = O(2)$	1.94(1)	Na(1) - O(2)	3·06 (1)	Na(2) - O(1)	3.02 (1)	O(2)-O(4')	90.0 (6)
$M_{g(1)} - O(4)$	1.99 (1)	Na(1) - O(4)	2.99 (2)	Na(2) - O(1')	3.09 (1)	O(4)-O(4')	108.9 (6)
	(.)	Na(1) - O(6)	2.75(2)	Na(2) - O(3)	2.83(2)		
Mg(2) = O(2)	2.07(1)	Na(1) - O(7)	2.52(2)	Na(2) - O(5)	2.74(1)		
$M_{g}(2) = O(4)$	2.19(1)	Na(1) - O(8)	2.63(2)	Na(2) - O(6)	2.34(2)		
$M_{g}(2) = O(6)$	$\overline{2.03}$ (1)	Na(1) = O(9) 2.50 (2)				Angles at Mg	(2)
	(1)		()			O(2) - O(2')	168.7 (5)
Angles at $Si(1)$		Angles at $Si(2)$		Angles at Si(3)		O(2) - O(4)	81.4 (5)
migics at bi(1)		·		G (/		O(2) - O(4')	90.3 (5)
O(1) = O(2)	112.2(7)	O(3) - O(4)	115.3 (8)	O(1) - O(5)	104.3 (7)	O(2) - O(6)	94.4 (5)
O(1) = O(3)	106.6(7)	O(3) = O(5)	104.6(7)	O(1) - O(6)	113.4 (7)	O(2) - O(6')	93.2 (5)
O(1) = O(3)	1000(7) 108.7(7)	O(3) - O(8)	108.7(8)	O(1) - O(9)	110.3 (8)	O(4) - O(4')	86.1 (5)
O(1) = O(1)	100.7(7)	O(3) = O(3)	110.0(7)	O(5) - O(6)	$115 \cdot 1(7)$	O(4) - O(6)	173.7 (6)
O(2) = O(3)	1093(7)	O(4) - O(3)	106.8 (8)	O(5) O(9)	106.5 (8)	O(4) = O(6')	89.2 (5)
O(2) = O(7) O(3) = O(7)	109.8 (8)	O(5)-O(8)	111.5 (8)	O(6)–O(9)	106.9 (8)	O(6)–O(6′)	95.8 (6)

* Bridging oxygens.

' Symmetry-related atoms.

Table 4. Coordination of the oxygen atoms

Coordinated atoms	E.s.v. sum
Si(1), Si(3), Na(2), Na(2)	2.20
Si(1), Mg(1), Mg(2), Na(1)	1.94
Si(1), Si(2), Na(2)	2.10
Si(2), Mg(1), Mg(2), Na(1)	1.94
Si(2), Si(3), Na(2)	2.10
Si(3), Mg(2), Na(1), Na(2)	1.54
Si(1), Si(1), Na(1)	2.11
Si(2), Si(2), Na(1)	2.11
Si(3), Si(3), Na(1)	2.11
	Coordinated atoms Si(1), Si(3), Na(2), Na(2) Si(1), Mg(1), Mg(2), Na(1) Si(1), Si(2), Na(2) Si(2), Mg(1), Mg(2), Na(1) Si(2), Si(3), Na(2) Si(3), Mg(2), Na(1), Na(2) Si(1), Si(1), Na(1) Si(2), Si(2), Na(1) Si(3), Si(3), Na(1)

Relationships to other structures

The close relationship to tuhualite

[(Na, K)₂Fe²⁺₂Fe³⁺Si₁₂O₃₀. H₂O] has already been noted. In tuhualite, the tetrahedral cation sites are occupied by Fe²⁺ and the octahedral sites by Fe³⁺; the Na(2) sites of the present structure are occupied by Na⁺ or K⁺, and the Na(1) sites are empty. The H₂O molecules, which are absent in Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅, were considered to be randomly placed in the same channels parallel to the a axis as the Na⁺ and K⁺ ions.

Merlino (1969) noted that tuhualite was structurally related to osumilite

[(K, Na) (Fe, Mg)₂(Al, Fe)₃(Al, Si)₁₂O₃₀], and Shahid & Glasser (1972) and Cradwick, Shahid & Taylor (1972) noted a closely similar relationship of Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅ to Na₂Mg₅Si₁₂O₃₀, which has an osumilite-type structure. The structure of Na₂Mg₅Si₁₂O₃₀ is known most precisely by analogy with that of K₂Mg₅Si₁₂O₃₀, which was determined by Khan, Baur & Forbes (1972). Some of the K⁺ ions in K₂Mg₅Si₁₂O₃₀ occupy 9-coordinated sites, which are closely similar in environment and coordination geometry to those occupied by Na(1) in Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅; these sites have no counterparts in osumilite or tuhualite. Na₂Mg₂Si₆O₁₅ is thus more closely related to K₂Mg₅Si₁₂O₃₀ than to osumilite.

We thank Mr B. G. Cooksley for collecting the intensity data, and Dr K. A. Shahid for assistance in the early part of the determination.



Fig. 2. Selected parts of the structure, projected along a, showing the coordination of the Na, Mg and Si atoms. Heights are shown as 1000 x/a.

BAUR, W. H. (1971). Amer. Min. 56, 1573.

BOTVINKIN, O. K., POPOVA, T. A. & MANUILOVA, N. S. (1937). Trans. 2nd. Conf. Exper. Min., Acad. Sci. USSR, p. 87.

CRADWICK, M. E., SHAHID, K. A. & TAYLOR, H. F. W. (1972). Nature Phys. Sci. 236, 110.

DONNAY, G. & ALLMANN, R. (1970). Amer. Min. 55, 1003. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

KHAN, A. A., BAUR, W. H. & FORBES, W. C. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 267.

MERLINO, S. (1969). Science, 166, 1399.

SHAHID, K. A. & GLASSER, F. P. (1972). *Phys. Chem. Glasses*, 13, 27.

ZOLTA1, T. (1960). Amer. Min. 45, 960.

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 3587

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of HK₈Rh₃Br₁₈. 10H₂O

By J. COETZER, W. ROBB AND P. V Z. BEKKER

National Physical and National Chemical Research Laboratories, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, P.O. Box 395, Pretoria, South Africa

(Received 6 July 1971 and in revised form 18 July 1972)

The crystal and molecular structure of $HK_8Rh_3Br_{18}$. $10H_2O$ has been determined by three-dimensional X-ray analysis. The crystals are orthorhombic with space group *Pbam* and 2 molecules in the unit cell of dimensions a = 15.32, b = 16.63 and c = 9.30 Å. Two monomeric RhBr₆ octahedra exist which are not related by the crystal symmetry.

Introduction

A series of complexes of formula $K_{3-N}Rh Br_{6-N}(H_2O)_N$ (N=0,1,2,3) was prepared as a prelude to a kinetic mechanistic study of the anation reactions of aquobromorhodate(III) complexes in aqueous acid medium (Bekker, 1968).

The first experiment was designed to examine the equilibrium hydrolysis,

$$RhBr_{5}(H_{2}O)^{2} + Br^{-} \rightleftharpoons RhBr_{6}^{3} + H_{2}O$$
,

as a logical complement to earlier reported studies on the chloro congeners (Robb & Harris, 1965; Robb, Steyn & Krüger, 1970). The fact that the potassium salt of the RhBr₆³⁻ moiety was isolated from a liquor that yielded two other complexes of formulae K₃Rh₂Br₉ and K₄Rh₂Br₁₀ served as an indication that the kinetic picture could become rather complicated and also made it necessary that a complete characterization of the complexes be attempted.

The results of the first of these crystallographic investigations form the basis for this report. The complex of formula $K_3Rh_2Br_9$ is expected to be isomorphous with the nonachlorodirhodate(III) complex which was isolated as the quaternary ammonium salt (Work & Good, 1970). The latter complex was in turn found to be isomorphous with the chromium(III) dimeric species which was shown to exist in the solid state as two distorted octahedra coupled face to face. A more recent publication communicated the full X-ray structural analysis of the complexes $Cs_3Cr_2Br_9$ $Cs_3Mo_2Cl_9$ and $Cs_3Mo_2Br_9$ (Saillant, Jackson, Streib, Folting & Wentworth, 1971).

The complex of formula $K_4Rh_2Br_{10}$ is presently being prepared for crystallographic studies.

Experimental section

Dark brown needles of the complex analysing according to the formula $K_8Rh_3Br_{18}$. $10H_2O$ were prepared from $Rh(OH)_3$. $3H_2O$ in the presence of HBr and KBr. The full details of other preparations of all of these aquobromorhodate(III) and bromorhodate(III) complexes, together with their ligand field spectra are to be reported elsewhere with the kinetic results.

Basing the amount of material taken (22 mg) on the formula $K_8Rh_3Br_{18}.10H_2O$, 10 ml of a 1 millimolar solution of the complex was made up in doubly distilled water and immediately subjected to a *p*H measurement at 20°C. The *p*H was found to be 3 hence showing the presence of a single proton for each unit of above formula or, more correctly, $\frac{1}{3}$ of a proton per RhBr³⁻₆ unit.

With the aid of oscillation, Weissenberg and precession photographs the crystals were determined to be orthorhombic with space group *Pbam* (0kl with k=2n, h0l with h=2n). Unit-cell dimensions were calculated from the accurate spot positions measured on a Hilger & Watts four circle automatic diffractometer.

Crystal data: